

### Commission Initiative on the transparency and sustainability of the EU risk assessment model in the food chain

67<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Advisory Forum of the European Food Safety Authority

Utrecht, 6 February 2018

Péter Bokor

Head of Unit D1: Science, stakehodlers, enforcement

**DG SANTE** 

Health and Food Safety



### Outline

- Background of the initiative
- Overall objectives of the initiative
- Consultation activities





## **Background for the initiative**

#### • Tackle findings of the Fitness Check of the General Food Law:

- **Transparency** of risk analysis: an issue in terms of <u>perception</u>, particularly in the context of <u>authorisations</u>.
- Negative signals identified on the EFSA's capacity to *maintain high level of scientific expertise*, need to *engage with Member States*.
- **Risk communication** has not always been effective.
- Address the Commission's reply to the European Citizens' Initiative "Ban glyphosate":
  - To come forward a legislative proposal by May 2018 covering the transparency in scientific assessment and decision-making, quality and independence of scientific studies and the governance of EFSA (drawing on GFL <u>Fitness Check</u> and after <u>open public consultation</u>).





# **Challenges on transparency**

- <u>Citizens perceive the risk assessment process opaque</u> and demand more transparency thus **trust is adversely affected**, because:
  - EFSA's evaluations of authorisation dossiers being essentially based on industry studies (burden of proof of safety of products on the applicant ).
  - Recent debates raised concerns on transparency and independence of industrygenerated studies and data.
- <u>Several different transparency and confidentiality rules</u> applicable to risk assessment and decision-making process: **complex and non-uniform rules.**





# **Challenges to sustainability**

EFSA's high level of independent scientific expertise is linked to its capacity to pool expertise from Member States. This is **challenged by**:

- **difficulties to attract new Experts** (recognition, financial compensation, etc.);
- despite progress, there are future challenges in ensuring full engagement of Member States in scientific cooperation.





# **Challenges to Risk Communication**

Key finding of the Fitness Check:

• Risk communication: **not effective enough.** 





# **Objectives** <u>targeted</u> by the initiative (I)

- Improve and clarify **the rules on transparency** (scientific studies supporting RA).
- Increased reliability, objectivity and independence of studies used by EFSA in its risk assessment (mainly authorisation dossiers).

In particular the **reply to the ECI highlighted** the need to:

- involve more public authorities in the process of deciding which studies need to be conducted;
- enhance auditing of compliance with GLP principles;
- measures increase transparency while respecting confidential business information;
- exceptionally commission ad-hoc studies in specific cases.





# **Objectives** <u>targeted</u> by the initiative (II)

- Improve **governance** and strengthen the scientific cooperation **and more involvement of** Member States in EFSA
- Address the limitations affecting the long term scientific capacity of EFSA and its ability to maintain a high level of scientific expertise
- Develop a more effective and transparent risk
  communication with public, in collaboration with Member States





# **Related links**

#### Roadmap

http://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/initiatives/ares-2017-6265773

#### Open Public Consultation

https://ec.europa.eu/info/consultations/public-consultationtransparency-and-sustainability-eu-risk-assessment-food-chain\_en

#### • Fitness Check

https://ec.europa.eu/food/safety/general\_food\_law/fitness\_check\_en

 Communication on the European Citizens' Initiative (ECI)

https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/plant/docs/pesticides\_glyp hosate\_eci\_final.pdf





### **Consultation activities on the initiative**

- Open Public Consultation of the Commission's Roadmap (from 20 December 2017 to 17 January 2018)
- Open Public Consultation via questionnaire (from 23 January to 20 March)
- Advisory Group on the Food Chain, Animal and Plant Health (on 5 February)
- EFSA's Advisory Forum (6 February)
- EFSA's Scientific Committee (15 February)
- Expert Group on General Food Law (5 March, tentative)





# Thank you!





# **Open discussion**

- How should the transparency of the risk assessment process of regulated products be increased without compromising confidential data?
- How should, if at all, public authorities/agencies, like MSs, EFSA, Commission, get more involved in the process of deciding which studies are needed?
- What could be done further to enhance the auditing system of laboratories' compliance with GLP principles?
- How can the **commissioning of ad hoc studies**, that could be foreseen in case of serious controversies and widely used substances, be organised?
- How can Member States be further involved in the risk assessment system to ensure its sustainability, including support to Expertise needed by EFSA?

