



**EFSA Stakeholder Forum – 31 May 2017**  
**Report to plenary on workshop outcomes**  
**By Mr Van Der Gaag, CPME**

# **Workshop 3**

## **Transparency and Open Data in Risk Assessment**

## 3 AREAS WHERE EFSA IS DOING WELL

- 1) **EFSA is Proactive and Continuously improving**  
(access and quality)
  - ❑ being one of the institutions making most efforts in transparency, i.e. webstreaming
  - ❑ Model for countries outside EU (wider than transparency)
- 2) **EFSA is listening and prepared to be challenged**
- 3) **EFSA is opening better channels for feedback**

## 3 AREAS WHERE EFSA SHOULD BE DOING BETTER

### 1) Improve the Transparency of the Risk Assessment process

- WG minutes – more information, faster, easy to follow the discussions
- More independent risk research – if/how?
- Accessibility of data from Member states
- Accessibility of data on the website
- Transparency on Confidentiality – process and outcome
- Risk assessment process : explanation on data used/not used

## 3 AREAS WHERE EFSA SHOULD BE DOING BETTER

### 2) **Increase Consultation earlier and at different stages of the Process**

- Pre submission meetings/groups of applicants
- Catalogue of Services for Applicants
- Concerns about pre-emption of Independence
- More engagement of SHs in scientific meetings
- SHF/SHForum/ Bureau to have the possibility to ask scientific questions for “self tasking”

## 3 AREAS WHERE EFSA SHOULD BE DOING BETTER

### 3) **Improve the Clarity and Accessibility of the Website**

- Explaining decision-making – e.g. Infographics
- Transparency on process and decision
- More advocacy for science
- Methods in line with international scientific principles (difference of opinion)
- Update policy on transparency/info to public