# Example of Non Intentional Added Substances (NIAS) evaluation

# Assessment of multilayer plastic films treated by ionizing radiations







#### French national regulations / recommandations





## French national regulations / recommandations

Results from concerns based on NIAS formation in plastic after ionizing radiations





#### Requirements for materials exposed to ionizing radiations (> 10 kGy)

- > Technological justification of the procedure.
- Material composition and compliance.
- Experimental conditions (type of radiations, dose rate...).
- Migration before / after radiations.
- Results concerning NIAS screening.

anses 📿

#### □ Parameters / conditions of the process:

- 3 different plastic films (PE) with thin layers (few µm) were treated.
- Each film is a multilayer material.
- Dose of radiations vary from 30 to 50 kGy.

#### □ Effects of ionizing radiations on the plastic films:

- Increase polymerisation in the same layer and between different layers.
- Increase mechanical structure of the material.
- Increase thermal resistance.
- Reduction of layers thickness to obtain the same efficiency.

#### NIAS risk assessment in 4 steps :

- 1. Identification / specific migration of IAS
- 2. Determination of the overall migration before / after radiations
- 3. Identification / quantification of NIAS before / after radiations
- 4. Determination of specific migration of NIAS

#### □ 1<sup>st</sup> step: Identification / specific migration of IAS

- Identification of IAS (monomers and additives) in each multilayer film
  Declaration of compliance
- Residual content of each substances was determined
- Specific migration was calculated for each susbtances (worst case)

#### $M = Q \times S \times T \times \rho$

- Q = quantity in the final product
- S = surface in contact
- T = thickness of the material
- P = density

# 2<sup>nd</sup> step : Determination of the overall migration (before / after radiations)

- Based on the COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 10/2011
- Simulants: Acetic acid, Ethanol 10%, oliveoil  $\rightarrow$  Isooctane



#### □ 3<sup>rd</sup> step : Identification / quantification of NIAS

- Predicted NIAS: targeted analysis of the known substances.
- Unpredicted NIAS: screening analysis of substances with wide range of properties

anse

#### □ 3<sup>rd</sup> step : Identification / quantification of NIAS

Predicted NIAS: targeted analysis of the known substance.

Unpredicted NIAS: screening analysis of substances with wide range of properties

→ GC/MS



#### □ 3rd step : Identification / quantification of NIAS

#### Analytical optimisation / performances

Objectives =  $0.5 \mu g / kg$  food =  $0.5 \mu g / person / d$  (threshold for no genotox testing)

Full extraction with Dichloromethane

 $\Box$ LOQ = 0,7 mg / kg polymer (determined with alcane as a model).

 $\langle$  0,84 µg / kg food



#### □ 3rd step : Identification / quantification of NIAS

#### Analytical optimisation / performances

Objectives =  $0.5 \mu g / kg$  food =  $0.5 \mu g / person / d$  (threshold for no genotox testing)

Full extraction with Dichloromethane

 $\Box$ LOQ = 0,7 mg / kg polymer (determined with alcane as a model).

 $\Leftrightarrow$  0,84 µg / kg food

 $\Rightarrow$  Objective not met (0,5 µg / kg food)



#### □ 3rd step : Identification / quantification of NIAS

- > The identified substances were compared before and after ionizing radiations
- Main substances: aliphatic alcan, oxydation products and additives (antioxydants)
- After radiations: antioxydants  $\searrow$  and their oxidized states  $\nearrow$
- 2 NIAS identified:



#### □ 4th step : Migration of NIAS

Choice of simulants according to the packaging use (all types of food):

- Isooctane and ethanol 95% (LOQ =  $6 \mu g/kg$  food)
- Acetic acid 3% and ethanol 10% (LOQ =  $30 \mu g/kg$  food)
- Contact conditions:
  - 2 days / 20° C for isooctane
  - 10 days / 60° C for ethanol 10%, ethanol 95% and acetic acid.

13

Simulants	Specific migrations (mg/kg food)	
	1,3 di-tert-butylbenzene	2,6 di-tert-butylphenol
Acetic acid	< 0,03	< 0,03
Ethanol 10%	< 0,03	< 0,03
Isooctane	0,012	0,012
Ethanol 95%	0,018	0,018
TEL (mg/person/d)	0,027	0,027

Exposure > 0,5  $\mu$ g / person / day  $\square$  2 genotoxic assays.



14

12/08/2016

# **Statements**

#### □ The lack of scientific knowledge increases NIAS levels of concern

#### □ Challenges for NIAS assessment ?

- ➢ We dont know what to look for ?
- ➢ How to analyse it ? Which analytical method? (NMR or IR efficient to scan but poor LOD)
- > NIAS at industrial level ? At consumer level ?

# **Conclusion / perspectives**

- □ To acquire database for identification / quantitation of NIAS
- □ To take into account of their migration into the food
- □ To establish guidelines for their risk assessment
- □ To establish good manufacturing practices
- □ To enhance awareness among manufacturers, risk assessors, risk managers and users concerning NIAS from food contact materials.

# **THANK YOU**

