CAN WE TRUST EFSA's SCIENCE?



CAN WE TRUST EFSA'S SCIENCE?

Problems with the GMO Panel

- 1. Biased towards industry
- 2. Too close to industry
- 3. Declarations of interest are not consistent or accurate
- 4. Conflict of interest with the national approval committees



BIASED TOWARDS INDUSTRY

Example 1: Antibiotic resistance markers (ARMs)

- O Directive 2001/18: phase out of ARMs which "may have adverse effects on human health and the environment"
- EFSA: also "taking into account the limited availability of alternatives".
- EFSA: ARMs are required "to ensure the efficient selection of transgenic events in plants."



BIASED TOWARDS INDUSTRY

Example 2: Antibiotic resistance markers (ARMs)

- The ampR gene is present in Syngenta's Bt176 maize
- EFSA: "should not be present in GM plants to be placed on the market."
- However, should only apply to future GM crops



TOO CLOSE TO INDUSTRY

- O Example 1
- 3 EFSA scientists members/supporters of the Public Research and Regulation (PPR)
- Buhk, Schiemann and Sakalaris
- PPR = industry-funded pressure group that campaigns for weaker biosafety legislation and against public access to information.



TOO CLOSE TO INDUSTRY

Other examples

- Panel members on the steering committees of industry conferences eg Buhk
- O Panel members legitimise industry propaganda by starring on their promotional videos eg Bartsch, Buhk
- Ad hoc experts eg ex-Monsanto staff (Cockburn), pro-GMO scientists (Phipps)



DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

- Inconsistent
- Example: members of the PPR...



Sakalaris

(4) Any Membership role or affiliation that you have in organisations/bodies/club with an interest in the work of the Authority:

* Providing reports on behalf of any fastitute (NHR)
on Bioteli Isaess in Creece Reports are been used
by various proparizations, public or private.

* Member of the "Pulelic Responsh a Regulation Intitionfine a

Participation on behalf of the PRR to the MOP2 on Biosofety

(5) Other interests or facts that the undersigned considers pertinent:



Schiemann

(2)	Indirect	interests	(indirect	financial,	e.g.	grants,	sponsorships,	or	other	kind	of
	benefits)										

uo

(3) Interests deriving from the professional activities of the applicant or his/her close family Members:

Professional activities for BBit a Competent Authority in Germany, do not interfet with my activities for EFSA.

(4) Any Membership role or affiliation that you have in organisations/bodies/club with an interest in the work of the Authority:

40

(5) Other interests or facts that the undersigned considers pertinent:

40



(1)	Direct interest (financial benefits arising from, for example, employment, contracted work, investments, fees etc.):						
	non						
(2)	Indirect interests (indirect financial, e.g. grants, sponsorships, or other kind of benefits):						
	non						
(3)	Interests deriving from the professional activities of the applicant or his/her close family Members:						
	non						
(4)	Any Membership role or affiliation that you have in organisations/bodies/club with an interest in the work of the Authority:						
	non						

(5) Other interests or facts that the undersigned considers pertinent:

Involvment in risk an en ment and decision making as member of the German con petent an thority



INACCURATE: Example 1 Buhk

- Member/supporter of PPR
- EFSA Guidance: Intellectual interests
- "...Intellectual interests also include participation in public interest groups, professional/scientific societies...which have an agenda or an interest or involvement in the Authority's work."



Example 2 : Buhk

- Steering Committee of the Agriculture Biotechnology International Conference, 2004
- "Europe's most important date for AgBiotech in 2004"
- Sponsored by companies including Bayer, KWS, DuPont and BASF
- EFSA Guidance: Work carried for a food-related business
- O "During the preceding five years, all activities performed for or on behalf of a company operating in the food, feed or animal production related business."



Example 3: Gasson

- Previously consultant to Danisco Venture a venture capital company that invests in biotechnology companies
- Nothing declared in current declarations
- EFSA Guidance: Work carried for a food-related business
- "During the preceding five years, all activities performed for or on behalf of a company operating in the food, feed or animal production related business."



Conflict of interest with the national approval committees

- Around a third of the Panel are involved in the national approval committees.
- Conflict both ways
 - 2001/18 judging own decision made at the national level
 - Food/feed judging own decision made in the EFSA
 - Both footballer and referee!



Why does this matter?

- The various interests of individuals in a group (e.g., a panel) once put together may well result in a balanced interest of the group as a whole.
- (EFSA Guidance on Declaration of Interests)
- Risk managers and the general public need to know whether the people making risk assessments have an interest in the outcome.
- Europe needs an EFSA that is not only independent, but is seen to be independent.

